

plant for an open cockpit aircraft from which they let drop an angel stuffed with prints and metalwork and other objects made especially for the occasion. When the newly-wed Alberses invited Mies van der Rohe and his mistress Lily Reich for dinner one night in their streamlined house designed by Walter Gropius, and Anni used the butter curler that was one of the few souvenirs of her fancy Berlin childhood, Reich sniped: 'Butter balls! Here at the Bauhaus? At the Bauhaus you should have a good solid *block* of butter!' And then there were the dances where the Bauhaus band played, the costume parties where everyone dressed in metal or black and white. Camaraderie often overshadowed the differences among these pioneers of visual reform who lived and worked together day and night.

This is the spirit that came through in the exhibition *Bauhaus* at the **Mazzotta Foundation in Milan** (closed 5th March). The range of objects assembled for this large and diverse show made clear that the Bauhaus was not in search of the pristine white perfection and sterility with which it has retrospectively become associated. Rather, the school was devoted to experimentation, imagination, and sheer fun.

Under the direction of the publisher Gabriele Mazzotta, the curators Marco De Michelis and Agnes Kohlmeyer assembled some surprising gems by virtually unknown artists alongside equally revelatory rarities by the big names. Most of the work pulses with the spirit of experimentation that infused these pilgrims of modernism. The foray into new forms applied to tea cups as well as painting, to door-locks as well as theatre costumes.

The Milan exhibition began with documents concerning the creation of the school, and with art produced there in 1919, the year the Bauhaus opened. It moved on to a meticulous reconstruction of the vitrines

used in Weimar in 1923 to show the latest Bauhaus craft. These crisply interlocking cubes presented the original objects in such a way that the beakers and bowls seemed to have just been made.

Walking along, following the course of the Bauhaus up to its tragic demise under pressure from the Nazis in 1933, one consistently felt that most of the paintings, textiles, photographs, and pieces of furniture were fresh from the workshops. And while the lack of ornament and the honesty about materials was constant, the quality level, understandably, was not. The Mazzotta presentation provided an opportunity to consider who at the Bauhaus was truly of the upper echelon artistically. To this viewer's eye, Johannes Itten was and is vastly overrated; the Milan show further proved the point that his art is preachy and hard to read. But others, such as Peter Keier – hardly a household name – emerged as deserving of further study for his bold and colourful graphics that anticipated Ellsworth Kelly's work by half a century.


Ludwig Hirschfeld-Mack, whose work invariably suffers in reproduction, came off as one of the freshest and most imaginative people in the history of the school, his theatre work the height of frippery. Benita Otte-Koch's textiles and course work, besides appearing to be the starting point for Marimeko, were revealed as an exquisite blend of strong and quiet. And Kurt Schmidt's marionettes (Fig.71) suggested the spirit of playfulness that one forgets was so important at the school.

Not surprisingly, the Mazzotta Foundation gave much space to the Italian Bauhausler Alfredo Bortoluzzi. His fresh, experimental studies from Josef Albers's, Schlemmer's, and Kandinsky's courses and his portrait of Klee with a Klee-like background were delightful. Bortoluzzi, one learned, ended up teaching dance in Paris


Milan Bauhaus

Anni Albers, who was the last person alive to have taught at the Bauhaus, where she had been head of the Weaving Workshop, was besieged by requests to give the inside story on the innovative school that effectively changed the look of the modern world. Until her death some three years ago, Mrs Albers – her choice of name in perverse rebuttal to feminism – would regularly fend off reporters, makers of documentary films and scholarly art historians. 'It wasn't so ideal', she would declare in her deliberate Berlin cadences. 'As with all institutions, it had its nasty politics and in-fighting. And we wanted to do our own work, not always be part of the greater whole. Now Klee and Kandinsky and Schlemmer seem like celebrities; then they were just artists trying to concentrate.'

But ordinary, everyday life in both the Weimar and Dessau incarnations of the Bauhaus does indeed now seem extraordinary. Every six months or so, Paul Klee would tack up his latest water-colours on the walls of the corridor near his studio. For Klee's fiftieth birthday party, some of his acolytes decided he was too spiritual to receive his presents on the usual earthly plane and so arranged at the nearby Junkers



70. Wassily and Nina Kandinsky at home in Dessau, by Lucia Moholy. 1927. Photograph, 18 by 24 cm. (Bauhaus-Archiv, Berlin).



71. *Segnale*, by Kurt Schmidt. 1923. Wood, 46 cm. high. (Museum für Sachliche Volkskunst, Radebeul; exh. Mazzotta Foundation, Milan).



72. *Walter Gropius*, by Lucia Moholy. Photograph, 24 by 18 cm. (Bauhaus-Archiv, Berlin; exh. Mazzotta Foundation, Milan).

with Serge Lifar. This glimpse of his work and life made one want to see and know more.

That was also the effect of Lucia Moholy-Nagy's photographs. Her image of Walter Gropius (Fig.72) is one of the most gripping portraits of the century for its crisply black and white evocation of this creator of straight lines and sharp edges. Gropius cups his head in his hands; the rippling furrows of his brow and the arrangement of his fingers take on the patterns of his architecture. Lucia is one of those artists capable of making her subject's character truly come alive; Georg Muche, once of the Bauhaus form masters, looks fantastically decadent, with an other-worldly glint in his eyes. And the photographer's double portrait of Wassily and Nina Kandinsky at home in Dessau (Fig.70) depicts the ferocity and dedication of the arch-priest of abstraction with his more frivolous wife at his side.

The reconstruction in Milan of the setting for that photo, the Kandinskys' austere dining room, was meticulous. The very painting that hung there, now owned by the Centre Pompidou, was in its proper position on the black wall behind Marcel Breuer's immaculate and rhythmic circular-topped table. It was splendid to see this material in the context of a place where people lived and breathed their vision twenty-four hours of the day.

That Breuer had one of the most fecund minds at the Bauhaus became apparent here. We would be thrilled, and not entirely surprised, to find the 'mechanical person' he designed in 1923 on sale at Hamleys, complete with batteries. What a gadget it would be – like an animated, anthropomorphic composition by Rietveld.

This enjoyable exhibition of what was, about seventy-five years ago, the latest and freshest in design, was shown to have a depth and resonance, as well as a wit, that is

lacking in most of the current minimalist and designer-boutique chic in this city of fashion and trends. It is no surprise that over 50,000 visitors flocked in, the only shame being that they had to be subjected to the distraction of informational videos when the show would have best been seen in silence. But fortunately the exhibition has been preserved in a comprehensive catalogue* – although this is less fortunate if one does not read Italian.

NICHOLAS FOX WEBER

Josef and Anni Albers Foundation, Orange, CT

**Bauhaus, 1919–1933, da Klee a Kandinsky da Gropius a Mies van der Rohe*. By Marco De Michelis and Agnes Kohlmeyer. 424 pp. with numerous ill. (Edizioni Gabriele Mazzotta, Milano, 1996). ISBN 978-88-202-1195-5.